2022 Continuum of Care Project Scoring Sheet

Agency Name:		
Program Name:		
Application Review	Points	Agency Score
Project Description	2 pts = Yes	
s the project description complete and accurate?	0 pts = No	2
or DV service providers, does the program design		
romote client safety using trauma informed victim centered p	rotocol.	
IUD Priorities		
Does the proposed project align with HUD priorities of	5 pts = Yes	
noving clients from streets or emergency shelters	0 pts = No	5
nto permanent housing as demonstrated through HMIS?		
DV- Comparable Dbase)	(>90 of clients directly from streets	or shelter)
<u>.ocal Priorities</u>		
Will the project increase access to housing as priortized by	5 pts = Rapid Rehousing	
ommunity input?	3 pts = Permanent Supportive Housi	-
	1 pt = Transitional to Rapid Rehous	ing 5
HUD Target Populations	7 pts = 100%	
Nhat percentage of the people served by the project are from	5 pts =75-99%	
he following target population groups with severe barriers	3 pts = 50-74%	
s demonstrated by HMIS Data?		
Projects submitted by victim service	1 pts = 25-49%	
roviders will be evaluated using de-identified aggregate data		
rom a comparable database)	0 pts = less than 25%	7
<u>ocal Target Populations</u>	7 pts = 100% 5 pts = 75-99%	
s the project's target population in line with the community's	3 pts = 50-74%	
rioritized populations based on community input? uch as 1) Chronically homeless family w minor children	5 pts = 50-74%	
)Non Chronic Ind/Family with disability	1 pts = 25-49%	
) Non Chronic Family with Minor Children	- Mr3 - 20-4070	
s demonstrated by HMIS Data?	0 pts = less than 25%	7
Projects submitted by victim service	• Pt3 – 1635 than 2070	/
roviders will be evaluated using de-identified aggregate data		
rom a comparable database)		
lousing Stability	10 pts = 100%	
What percentage of those exiting project to Permanent	7 pts =75-99%	
lousing retain permanent housing for 12 months as demonstrat		
hrough System Performance Measures?	3 pts = 25-49%	
Projects submitted by victim service providers will be	0 pts = less than 25%	
valuated using de identified aggregate data from a comparable	-	

evaluated using de-identified aggregate data from a comparable

database as *housing stability* is an objective measure of increased safety for

households who have experienced Domestic Violence.)

10

Housing First Is this a Housing First Project as exhibited by policies and procedures?	10 pts = Yes	
Projects must send complete intake packet to be included		
with application for ranking and review.	0 pts = No	10
Coordinated Entry	5 pts = Yes	
At least 90% of CES referrals are answered in compliance with		
the GA 505 CES guidelines	0 pts = No	5
N/A FOR DV and TH		
Occupancy/Served	7 pts = 100%	
What is your average occupancy % over the past year (PSH/TH)?	5 pts =75-99%	
% of those served vs to projections on last years	3 pts = 50-74%	
application (RRH)		
(monthly % acceptable for those without full year	2 pts = 25-49%	
grant accessibility)	0 pts = less than 25%	
		7
Dronocod Drojost Budget	E ata - Vac	
<u>Proposed Project Budget</u> The budgets are calculated accurately with appropriate	5 pts = Yes	
line items, including cash match amounts.	3 pts = Yes/No 0 pts = No	5
me tenis, including cash fildten afflutits.	o his – 140	ر
Audit/Financial Information	Yes, significant	
Does the project sponsor have any unresolved financial	findings = -5 pts	
audit findings or issues with the IRS 990 form? (i.e.	Yes, internal control	
operating in the red in excess, unexplained amounts, etc.)	issues = -3 pts	
Project applicants must submit YTD Financials, most recent 990.	Yes, minor findings	
For organizations receiving more than \$100,000 in charitable donations or grants, your most recent independent audit must be	being addressed = -2	
included. (990 or audit cannot be dated prior to June 1, 2021.)	p to	_
	No = 0 pts	0
Ready to Proceed	2 nto -within 2 months	
How soon will the project house its first client? Timeline	3 pts = within 3 months	
Tor boucing clients would be trees the date of stand areas	1 pts = 4-6 months	
	-	
agreement to date housing assistance begins for first	0 pts = 7 months or	2
agreement to date housing assistance begins for first	-	3
agreement to date housing assistance begins for first client. <u>Match Donations</u>	0 pts = 7 months or	3
for housing clients would be from the date of signed grant agreement to date housing assistance begins for first client. <u>Match Donations</u> Do match letters sufficiently document the required	0 pts = 7 months or	3
agreement to date housing assistance begins for first client. <u>Match Donations</u> Do match letters sufficiently document the required match for the project type? Match letter and verification of	0 pts = 7 months or more	
agreement to date housing assistance begins for first client. <u>Match Donations</u> Do match letters sufficiently document the required	0 pts = 7 months or more	3
agreement to date housing assistance begins for first client. <u>Match Donations</u> Do match letters sufficiently document the required match for the project type? Match letter and verification of match must be included in packet.	0 pts = 7 months or more 5 pts = Yes 0 pts = No	
agreement to date housing assistance begins for first client. <u>Match Donations</u> Do match letters sufficiently document the required match for the project type? Match letter and verification of match must be included in packet. <u>Income Growth</u>	 0 pts = 7 months or more 5 pts = Yes 0 pts = No 15 pts = 100% 	
agreement to date housing assistance begins for first client. Match Donations Do match letters sufficiently document the required match for the project type? Match letter and verification of match must be included in packet. Income Growth What percentage of clients have an increase of income	<pre>0 pts = 7 months or more 5 pts = Yes 0 pts = No 15 pts = 100% 10 pts = 75-99%</pre>	
agreement to date housing assistance begins for first client. <u>Match Donations</u> Do match letters sufficiently document the required match for the project type? Match letter and verification of match must be included in packet. <u>Income Growth</u>	<pre>0 pts = 7 months or more 5 pts = Yes 0 pts = No 15 pts = 100% 10 pts = 75-99%</pre>	

Returns to homelessness		
Has project shown a decrease in returns to homeless among those exited to permanent housing as measured by System Performance Measure 2 a &2b (DV Comparable D-base)	5 pts = Yes 0 pts = No	5
Data Quality		
Does the project's HUD Data Quality report reflect an overall error rate less than 5%?	5 pts = Yes	5
	0 pts = No	5
Employment Services		
Does the project's SPM data show Changes in Earned Income as exhibited in Measures 4.1 & 4.4	5 pts = Yes 0 pts = No	
Change in earned income directly correlates with access to	o p ts = 110	5
employment services		
HIC Participation	Ente - Voc	
HIC Participation Did the agency participate in the 2022 Housing Inventory and	5 pts = Yes 0 pts = No	
Point inTime Count and have a utilizaiton rate of at least 85 %?	5 pts = N/A	5
Project Equity Analysis	5 pts = Yes	
Project enrollment, as exhibited by HMIS data review, indicates	0 pts = No	
programmatic access for households of different races and		
ethnicities , particularly those over-represented in the local homeless population.		5
Project Anti Discrimination Policy	5 pts = Yes	
Project applicants have an anti- discrimination policy	0 pts = No	
that ensures LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free		
from discrimination in alignment with CoC Governance.		5
Anti Discrimination Policy to be included with project submi	ssion	

CoC Participation	3 pts = Yes	
Did the agency participate in CoC by attending CoC meetings	0 pts = No	3
in during the calendar year 2021?		
Did agency have a representative on at least 1 CoC Committee	3 pts = Yes	
in 2021 as exhibited by attendance of at least 50% at said	0 pts = No	
committee meetings?		3
Total		122
		8/15/22